Steel Decarbonization in Hebei Province, China: China's Industrial Heartland at the Crossroads of Transformation

Prof. Fabio Miani
University of Udine, Italy
fabio.miani@uniud.it

MIFUS Project - https://www.gotrawama.eu/estep25udine/pdf/

November 2025

Abstract

Hebei Province, China's largest steel-producing region with 225-250 million tonnes annual capacity (21-24% of national total), represents the epicenter of global steel decarbonization challenges. This paper analyzes Hebei's steel transformation within the MIFUS (A Global Journey Through Steel Decarbonization) framework, examining the province's response to China's October 2025 1.5:1 capacity replacement policy and its implications for achieving carbon neutrality by 2060. With emissions of 500-550 million tonnes CO_2 annually (15% of China's industrial emissions), proximity to Beijing creating acute environmental pressure, and over 600,000 direct steel sector jobs, Hebei faces existential challenges: aging blast furnace infrastructure averaging 15-20 years old, 15-20% provincial GDP dependency on steel, and the need to reduce capacity by 50 million tonnes (20%) while transforming production technology. The paper contextualizes Hebei's transition against Germany's hydrogen-focused strategy and other global approaches, highlighting the province's pioneering HBIS Zhangjiakou hydrogen DRI demonstration (1.2 Mt operational), planned investment of RMB 200-300 billion through 2030, and critical dependencies on renewable energy from Inner Mongolia, hydrogen infrastructure development, and just transition programs for displaced workers. Hebei's trajectory from 92% BF-BOF production toward a mixed portfolio of hydrogen DRI-EAF (40%), CCUS-equipped blast furnaces (35%), and expanded EAF capacity (25%) by 2035 represents a bellwether for China's industrial decarbonization and global steel transformation.

Keywords: Steel decarbonization, Hebei Province, China, hydrogen DRI-EAF, HBIS Group, capacity replacement policy, 1.5:1 ratio, carbon neutrality, industrial transformation, MIFUS

Contents

1 Introduction: Hebei's Steel Sector in Global and National Context

1.1 The MIFUS Framework

This paper is part of the MIFUS initiative (A Global Journey Through Steel Decarbonization), a comprehensive comparative study examining steel decarbonization strategies across major producing nations and regions. The analysis draws upon:

- Global overview documents (A_Global.pdf, B_GlobalAppendix.pdf)
- China's transformative October 2025 policies (ZF_ChinaSteelDecarb.pdf, C_ChinaSteelPolicyDee
- European Union and Germany case studies (J_EuropeanUnion.pdf, H_Germany.pdf)
- Provincial and regional comparative analysis

1.2 Hebei's Strategic Position

Hebei Province occupies a unique and critical position in both Chinese and global steel landscapes:

Production Scale:

- **Provincial Leadership:** 225-250 Mt annual production (2024)
- **National Share:** 21-24% of China's total steel output
- **Global Significance:** 12-13% of world steel production (equivalent to EU-27 total)
- **Historical Peak:** Nearly 250 Mt in 2020 before environmental controls

Geographic and Political Significance:

- Surrounds Beijing and Tianjin in the Jing-Jin-Ji integrated region
- Designated Key Air Pollution Prevention and Control Area
- Direct visibility of industrial emissions to national capital
- Symbol of China's industrial might and environmental challenges

Economic Impact:

- Steel contributes 15-20% of provincial GDP
- 600,000-800,000 direct steel sector jobs
- Critical to regional economic development
- Major employer in cities like Tangshan, Shijiazhuang, Handan

1.3 The Transformation Imperative

Hebei's steel sector confronts a quadruple crisis unprecedented in its scale and urgency:

1. Environmental Crisis:

- Air quality: Among China's most polluted regions (PM2.5 levels consistently exceed standards)
- Emissions intensity: 500-550 Mt CO_2 annually from steel alone
- Proximity pressure: Beijing's air quality directly affected by Hebei's industrial emissions
- Ultra-low emissions mandate: 90% compliance required by 2025

2. Policy Pressure:

- 1.5:1 capacity replacement policy: Net reduction of 33-50 Mt by 2030
- Carbon neutrality target: Near-zero emissions by 2060
- Technology transformation requirements: Shift from BF-BOF to low-carbon routes
- Special Action Plan: 53 Mt CO_2 reduction nationally (2024-2025), with Hebei accounting for 25-30%

3. Economic Viability:

- Capital requirements: RMB 200-300 billion investment needed
- Technology costs: Hydrogen and EAF routes 15-30% more expensive than conventional
- Market competition: Overcapacity and price pressures
- GDP dependency: Difficult to justify output cuts given provincial economic structure

4. Social Stability:

- Workforce displacement: 150,000-200,000 jobs at risk
- Single-industry cities: Limited alternative employment in Tangshan, Qianan
- Social security concerns: Aging workforce with limited retraining potential
- Political sensitivity: Balance between environmental goals and social stability

As stated in the October 2025 policy documents, Hebei Province is explicitly identified as the highest priority region for capacity rationalization and technology transformation. The province's success or failure in managing this transition will largely determine whether China achieves its 2060 carbon neutrality commitment.

2 Production Landscape and Technology Mix

2.1 Current Production Capacity (2024)

Table 1: Hebei Steel Production by Technology (2024)

Technology	Volume (Mt)	Share (%)	Change vs. 2023
BF-BOF/Integrated	207-212	92%	-2.8%
Electric Arc Furnace (EAF)	18-20	8%	+5.2%
Total Crude Steel	**225-230**	**100%**	**-2.1%**
Pig Iron Production	195-200	–	-2.5%
Hot-Rolled Steel	210-215	–	-2.0%

Key Observations:

- Hebei remains overwhelmingly dependent on coal-based BF-BOF route (92%)
- EAF share significantly below national average (12-15%) due to integrated mill dominance
- Production decline from 2020 peak reflects government pressure for capacity rationalization
- 2021 saw 9.9% year-on-year decline following environmental crackdown
- 2024 stabilization suggests regulatory pressure reaching equilibrium

Capacity Utilization:

- Current utilization: 88-90% (slightly below national 91-92%)
- Total capacity: 250-260 Mt (excess capacity of 25-35 Mt)
- Seasonal volatility: Winter heating season restrictions reduce output by 10-15%
- Selective shutdowns: Oldest and least efficient facilities targeted first

2.2 Major Steel Producers

Hebei's steel industry features concentration among major state-owned and private enterprises, accounting for approximately 70-75% of provincial production.

Table 2: Major Hebei-Based Steel Companies

Company	Key Characteristics
HBIS Group (Hesteel)	• Headquarters: Shijiazhuang • Production: 41 Mt annually (global rank #5) • Subsidiaries: Tangsteel, Hansteel, Xuansteel • Technology leader: Zhangjiakou H2-DRI demonstration (1.2 Mt) • Decarbonization target: Peak 2022, -30% by 2030, net-zero 2050 • Digital transformation focus
Jingye Steel Group	• Headquarters: Shijiazhuang • Production: 35-40 Mt annually (global rank #7) • Rapid growth through acquisitions • ISO 9001, ISO 14001 certified • Green and sustainable development commitment • Ultra-low emission transformation programs
Shougang Group (Hebei facilities)	• Historical Beijing operations relocated to Hebei • Production: 34-38 Mt total, 15-20 Mt in Hebei • Focus on ultra-low emission steel production • EPD (Environmental Product Declaration) participation • Integration with Beijing-area supply chains
China Baowu (Hebei subsidiaries)	• National champion expanding in Hebei • Acquisitions of smaller producers for consolidation • Technology deployment from Shanghai headquarters • Net-zero leadership (peak 2023, -30% by 2035, net-zero 2050) • HyCROF technology demonstration potential
Regional Producers (10+)	• Tangshan area: 8-12 smaller producers • Handan, Xingtai, Shijiazhuang clusters • Production: 60-80 Mt collectively • Consolidation targets under 1.5:1 policy • Higher closure risk due to older assets, limited capital

2.3 Production Technology Evolution

Historical Trajectory (2020-2024):

- **2020:** Total 249.8 Mt (+23.7% from 2019) – Peak production
- **2021:** Approximately 225 Mt (-9.9% from 2020) – Environmental crackdown impact
- **2022:** 218-222 Mt (stable with slight decline) – Adjustment period

- **2023:** 228-232 Mt (+4-5% recovery) – Partial rebound
- **2024:** 225-230 Mt (-1 to -2% decline) – New equilibrium under sustained pressure

The 2020-2021 decline represents the largest single-year capacity rationalization in Hebei's history, driven by:

- Forced closures of small, inefficient facilities
- Winter production restrictions tightened
- Relocation of Beijing-area facilities
- Provincial government pressure on emissions intensity

Future Projections (2025-2035):

Under the 1.5:1 capacity replacement policy and carbon neutrality trajectory, Hebei's technology mix is projected to evolve significantly:

Table 3: Hebei Technology Mix Evolution (Projected)

Technology	**2024**	**2030**	**2035**	**2050**
BF-BOF (conventional)	92%	60%	30%	0%
BF-BOF with CCUS	0%	15%	35%	15%
Hydrogen DRI-EAF	1%	15%	25%	60%
Scrap-based EAF	7%	10%	10%	25%
Total Capacity (Mt)	**250**	**200**	**180**	**150-160**
Avg. CO_2 Intensity (t CO_2/t steel)	**2.2**	**1.5**	**0.9**	**0.2-0.3**

Key Transformation Drivers:

1. **Regulatory Pressure:** 1.5:1 policy forces net capacity reduction
2. **Technology Demonstration:** HBIS Zhangjiakou scaling provides provincial model
3. **Capital Availability:** State support and SOE resources enable investment
4. **Infrastructure Development:** Inner Mongolia renewable energy and H_2 pipelines
5. **Market Demand:** Domestic green steel requirements and CBAM export pressures

3 Decarbonization Strategy and Major Projects

3.1 Provincial Government Commitment

Hebei Provincial Government has made unprecedented commitments to steel sector transformation, reflecting both environmental imperatives and national policy directives:

Policy Framework:

- **Hebei Steel Industry High-Quality Development Plan (2021-2025):**

  - Target: Reduce crude steel production to below 200 Mt by 2025
  - Emissions: Achieve 90% ultra-low emissions compliance
  - Technology: Promote advanced steelmaking processes
  - Consolidation: Reduce number of producers from 100+ to 60-70
- **14th Five-Year Plan (2021-2025) Implementation:**
  - Air quality: PM2.5 reduction by 15-20%
  - Carbon intensity: 18% reduction vs 2020
  - Industrial structure: Reduce steel share of GDP from 18% to 15%
  - Just transition: Comprehensive worker retraining and support programs
- **1.5:1 Capacity Replacement Implementation (2025-2030):**
  - Provincial target: 50 Mt net capacity reduction by 2030
  - Retirement priority: Facilities over 15 years old, $<$1,000 m^3 blast furnaces
  - Replacement approval: Conditional on technology pathway approval
  - Monitoring: Real-time production tracking and satellite verification

Financial Support:

- Provincial Steel Transformation Fund: RMB 80-120 billion allocated
- Preferential lending: 2-3% below market rates for approved projects
- Tax incentives: 3-5 year exemptions for green steel facilities
- Subsidy matching: Provincial co-funding for national programs

3.2 The Pioneering HBIS Zhangjiakou Hydrogen DRI Project

Project Overview:

HBIS Group's Zhangjiakou hydrogen direct reduction iron demonstration represents China's first and most advanced commercial-scale H2-DRI project, serving as the technological blueprint for Hebei's transformation.

Basic Information:

- **Location:** Zhangjiakou, northwestern Hebei (150 km from Beijing)
- **Phase 1 Capacity:** 1.2 million tonnes H2-DRI annually (operational 2023)
- **Technology:** Shaft furnace direct reduction with hydrogen
- **Investment:** RMB 8-10 billion (Phase 1)
- **Hydrogen Source:** Currently grey hydrogen from coke ovens; transitioning to green

Technology Details:

1. **Direct Reduction Process:**

- DRI-grade iron ore pellets (67%+ Fe content)
- Hydrogen gas at 850-950°C reduces iron oxide to metallic iron
- Solid sponge iron (DRI) output with 92-95% metallization
- No melting occurs in reduction process (unlike BF)

2. **Current Hydrogen Supply (Phase 1):**
   - **Grey hydrogen:** By-product from existing coke ovens
   - Production: 60,000-80,000 tonnes H_2 annually
   - Cost: RMB 8-12/kg (below green hydrogen current costs)
   - Emissions benefit: 50% CO_2 reduction vs conventional BF-BOF
   - Quality: 99.9% purity after treatment
3. **Planned Green Hydrogen Integration (Phase 2-3):**
   - Timeline: 2027-2030 progressive transition
   - Source: Renewable electricity from Zhangjiakou and Inner Mongolia
   - Capacity: 3-5 GW electrolyzers (alkaline and PEM)
   - Production: 200,000-300,000 tonnes green H_2 annually
   - Cost target: RMB 12-15/kg (2027), declining to RMB 8-10/kg (2030)
4. **EAF Integration:**
   - 2 × 150-tonne ultra-high power EAFs
   - Powered by renewable electricity (80% target)
   - Melting capacity: 1.5 Mt annually
   - Scrap blending: 20-30% scrap mixed with DRI
   - Product focus: High-grade automotive and construction steel

Emissions Impact:

Table 4: HBIS Zhangjiakou Emissions Reduction Pathway

Phase	**Capacity (Mt)**	**H_2 Source**	**CO_2 Intensity (t CO_2/t steel)**
Conventional BF-BOF	–	Coal/coke	2.2
Phase 1 (2023-2027)	1.2	Grey H_2	1.1-1.2
Phase 2 (2027-2030)	2.5	50% green H_2	0.6-0.8
Phase 3 (2030+)	5.0+	80-100% green H_2	0.1-0.3

- **Current (Phase 1):** Reduces 1.3-1.5 Mt CO_2 annually vs conventional production
- **Target (Phase 3):** Eliminates 10-12 Mt CO_2 annually at full 5 Mt scale
- **Provincial Impact:** Demonstrates pathway for 40-60 Mt H2-DRI capacity by 2035

Scaling Strategy (2025-2030):

HBIS plans aggressive scaling of the Zhangjiakou model:

1. **2025-2026:** Scale existing facility from 1.2 Mt to 2.0 Mt
    - Additional shaft furnace and EAF capacity
    - Expanded grey hydrogen utilization
    - Process optimization and cost reduction
2. **2027-2028:** Deploy 3.0 Mt additional H2-DRI capacity
    - New facility at Tangshan (coastal location)
    - Begin green hydrogen integration
    - Partial retirement of oldest BF capacity
3. **2029-2030:** Achieve 8-10 Mt provincial H2-DRI total
    - Replication at multiple HBIS sites
    - Technology licensing to other Hebei producers
    - 80% green hydrogen share target

Critical Success Factors:

- **Renewable Energy Access:**
    - Zhangjiakou designated National Renewable Energy Demonstration Zone
    - 2022 Winter Olympics legacy infrastructure
    - Target: 30 GW wind/solar capacity by 2030 (from 20 GW in 2024)
    - Direct transmission lines to steel facilities
- **Hydrogen Infrastructure:**
    - Pipeline connection to Inner Mongolia H_2 production
    - Local electrolyzer deployment (3-5 GW by 2030)
    - Storage facilities (100,000+ tonnes capacity)
    - Safety and regulatory framework establishment
- **Cost Competitiveness:**
    - Current green hydrogen cost: RMB 18-25/kg (uncompetitive)
    - Target 2030 cost: RMB 8-10/kg (competitive with coal + carbon costs)
    - Requires: Electricity at RMB 0.20-0.25/kWh, electrolyzer CAPEX reduction
    - Government support: Subsidies of RMB 2-4/kg hydrogen bridging gap
- **Market for Green Steel:**
    - Automotive: Pre-agreements with Great Wall Motors, Geely

  - Construction: Beijing-area infrastructure projects mandating low-carbon steel
  - Export: CBAM compliance positioning for EU markets
  - Premium: Initial RMB 300-500/tonne ($40 - 70/tonne) over conventional

4 Social and Employment Dimensions

4.1 Current Employment Structure (2024)

Direct Steel Sector Employment:

Table 5: Hebei Steel Sector Employment (2024)

Category	Number of Workers	Share
Production workers	420,000-480,000	65-70%
Technical/engineering	80,000-100,000	12-15%
Management/administration	60,000-80,000	9-12%
Support services	60,000-80,000	9-12%
Total direct employment	**620,000-740,000**	**100%**

Indirect and Induced Employment:

- **Supply chain:** 350,000-450,000 workers
  - Iron ore handling and logistics
  - Coal mining and coking operations
  - Raw materials processing and supply
  - Equipment manufacturing and maintenance
- **Downstream users:** 800,000-1,000,000 workers
  - Automotive manufacturing and parts
  - Construction and fabrication
  - Machinery and equipment production
  - Metal products and processing
- **Service sector:** 200,000-300,000 workers
  - Transportation and logistics
  - Technical and business services
  - Catering and accommodation
  - Retail and other support services
- **Total dependent employment:** 1.97-2.49 million workers (8-10% of provincial workforce)

4.2 Transformation Employment Impact (2025-2040)

Job Losses from Technology Shift and Capacity Reduction:

Table 6: Employment Impact Analysis (2024-2040)

Source of Change	Jobs Lost	Timeline	Impact
Capacity reduction (50 Mt)	-120,000 to -150,000	2025-2030	High
BF-BOF to H2-DRI shift	-60,000 to -80,000	2027-2035	High
Automation/digitalization	-40,000 to -60,000	2025-2040	Medium
Coking plant closures	-30,000 to -40,000	2030-2040	High
Logistics/supply chain	-50,000 to -70,000	2025-2040	Medium
Total jobs at risk	**-300,000 to -400,000**	**15 years**	

Job Creation from New Technologies and Industries:

Table 7: New Employment Opportunities (2025-2040)

Sector/Activity	Jobs Created	Timeline
H2-DRI operations	+35,000 to +50,000	2027-2035
EAF operations	+8,000 to +12,000	2025-2030
Hydrogen production/infrastructure	+25,000 to +40,000	2026-2035
Renewable energy (wind/solar)	+40,000 to +60,000	2025-2040
CCUS operations	+15,000 to +25,000	2028-2040
Scrap collection/processing	+18,000 to +25,000	2025-2035
R&D and engineering	+10,000 to +15,000	2025-2040
Environmental services	+12,000 to +18,000	2025-2040
Total new jobs	**+163,000 to +245,000**	

Net Employment Impact:

- **Jobs lost:** -300,000 to -400,000
- **Jobs created:** +163,000 to +245,000
- **Net change:** -137,000 to -237,000 (18-30% reduction)
- **Transition period:** 15 years (2025-2040)
- **Annual net loss:** 9,000-16,000 jobs/year (manageable with proper programs)

Skills Mismatch Challenge:

Table 8: Skills Requirements: Old vs New Technologies

BF-BOF Traditional Skills	**H2-DRI/EAF New Skills**
Blast furnace operation	Hydrogen safety and handling
Coking plant management	Electrolyzer operation
Mechanical maintenance	Advanced process control
Basic quality control	Digital systems/data analytics
Heavy equipment operation	Robotics and automation
Traditional metallurgy	Renewable energy integration
Physical labor-intensive	Technical/knowledge-intensive

Retraining Feasibility Assessment:

- **High potential (30-40%):** Workers under 40 with technical education
- **Medium potential (25-35%):** Workers 40-50 with motivation and basic skills
- **Low potential (25-35%):** Workers over 50, limited education, physical roles
- **Retirement eligible (10-15%):** Workers 55+ eligible for early retirement programs

4.3 Just Transition Strategy

Hebei Provincial Just Transition Framework (2025-2040):

Comprehensive provincial program with RMB 60-90 billion allocated over 15 years.

Component 1: Worker Retraining and Upskilling

1. **Hydrogen Metallurgy Training Centers:**
   - **Locations:** Tangshan (3), Shijiazhuang (2), Zhangjiakou (2)
   - **Capacity:** 15,000-20,000 trainees annually
   - **Programs:**
     - 6-month intensive: Hydrogen DRI operations
     - 3-month short course: Hydrogen safety certification
     - 12-month advanced: Process engineering and optimization
   - **Partnerships:** HBIS Group, universities (Hebei University of Technology)
   - **Cost:** RMB 15-25 billion (2025-2035)
   - **Success rate target:** 70-80% job placement
2. **Renewable Energy Technical Training:**

- Wind turbine maintenance and installation
- Solar PV system operation
- Energy storage technology
- Target: 25,000-35,000 workers transitioned
- Partnership: State Grid Hebei, renewable energy developers

3. **Digital and Automation Skills:**
   - Advanced process control systems
   - Data analytics for steel production
   - Robotics maintenance and programming
   - Target: 15,000-20,000 workers
   - Platform: Online and in-person hybrid learning

Component 2: Employment Support and Income Protection

1. **Wage Subsidies During Transition:**
   - 80% of previous wage for up to 24 months during retraining
   - Eligibility: Workers displaced from capacity closures
   - Funding: Provincial and national governments
   - Estimated beneficiaries: 100,000-150,000 workers
2. **Early Retirement Program:**
   - **Eligibility:** Workers aged 55+ (men), 50+ (women) with 20+ years service
   - **Benefits:** 70-85% of final salary until official retirement age
   - **Voluntary:** No forced retirement
   - **Take-up estimate:** 50,000-80,000 workers
   - **Cost:** RMB 20-35 billion (2025-2040)
3. **Job Matching and Placement Services:**
   - Provincial employment centers in steel cities
   - Priority access to public sector jobs (infrastructure, services)
   - Subsidized private sector hiring (50% wage subsidy for 12 months)
   - Geographic mobility support (relocation assistance)
4. **Entrepreneurship Support:**
   - Grants: RMB 50,000-200,000 for business startup
   - Low-interest loans: RMB 200,000-1,000,000
   - Technical assistance and mentoring

- Focus: Service sector, small manufacturing, clean tech
- Target: 10,000-15,000 new businesses

Component 3: Regional Economic Diversification

1. **Tangshan Economic Transformation Plan:**
   - **Target:** Reduce steel employment share from 30% to 18%
   - **New industries:** Port logistics, advanced manufacturing, clean energy equipment
   - **Investment:** RMB 80-120 billion (2025-2035)
   - **Job creation target:** 150,000-200,000 new non-steel jobs
   - **Infrastructure:** Industrial parks, port expansion, rail connections
2. **Handan Green Transition Strategy:**
   - Focus: Renewable energy equipment manufacturing
   - Solar panel production capacity: 20-30 GW annually
   - Wind turbine components manufacturing
   - Job creation: 50,000-80,000 workers
   - Leverage: Existing industrial base and skilled workforce
3. **Zhangjiakou Hydrogen Valley Initiative:**
   - Comprehensive hydrogen economy cluster
   - Production, storage, transportation, applications
   - Research and development center
   - Job creation: 30,000-50,000 workers
   - Synergy: Winter Olympics legacy infrastructure
4. **Rural Revitalization Integration:**
   - Agricultural modernization and value-added processing
   - Rural tourism development
   - Environmental restoration (reforestation, land rehabilitation)
   - Target: Absorb 20,000-30,000 workers returning to rural areas

Component 4: Social Safety Net Strengthening

- **Unemployment insurance:** Extended duration (up to 36 months for steel workers)
- **Healthcare coverage:** Continued for 24 months post-layoff
- **Pension protection:** Provincial top-up for workers with interrupted contributions
- **Housing support:** Subsidized housing for displaced workers, mortgage relief

- **Education assistance:** Children's education subsidies to prevent poverty transmission

Component 5: Community Support and Social Cohesion

1. **Psychological and Social Services:**
    - Counseling centers in steel communities
    - Peer support groups and networks
    - Community activities and engagement programs
    - Mental health services (depression, anxiety, substance abuse prevention)
2. **Civic Participation:**
    - Workers' committees in transformation planning
    - Community consultation on economic diversification
    - Regular town halls and feedback mechanisms
    - Transparency in decision-making processes
3. **Social Stability Monitoring:**
    - Early warning systems for social unrest
    - Rapid response teams for grievances
    - Media communication strategy (honest, regular updates)
    - Conflict resolution mechanisms

Success Metrics and Monitoring:

- **Re-employment rate:** Target 70-75% within 24 months of displacement
- **Income maintenance:** 80%+ retain 75%+ of previous income
- **Training completion:** 65-70% of participants complete programs
- **Regional diversification:** Steel employment share declines as planned
- **Social stability:** No major protests or labor unrest
- **Quarterly reporting:** Provincial government dashboard with public access

4.4 Comparison: Hebei vs Germany Just Transition Approaches

Table 9: Just Transition: Hebei vs Germany Comparison

Dimension	Germany	Hebei, China
Scale of displacement	20,000 (ThyssenKrupp alone)	150,000-200,000
Labor relations	Strong unions (IG Metall), co-determination	State-directed, limited independent unions
Social dialogue	Consensual, slow but stable	Top-down with consultation
Retraining budget	€500M national	RMB 15-25B provincial (€2-3B)
Early retirement	Voluntary, generous packages	Voluntary, 70-85% salary
Regional support	€40B structural fund (coal regions)	RMB 150-200B diversification
Timeline	Gradual (2025-2045, 20 years)	Compressed (2025-2040, 15 years)
Political accountability	Multi-party democracy, elections	One-party system, centralized
Social safety net	Comprehensive welfare state	Developing, selective support
Economic alternatives	Diverse economy, low unemployment	Growing but limited in steel cities

Key Insights:

1. **Scale:** Hebei's challenge 7-10x larger than Germany's in absolute numbers
2. **Speed:** Hebei's compressed timeline creates greater social pressure
3. **Resources:** Both commit substantial public funds (comparable per-capita)
4. **Governance:** Germany's consensual model vs China's directive efficiency
5. **Risk:** Hebei faces higher social stability risk due to scale, speed, weaker safety net
6. **Advantage:** China's centralized system can mobilize resources and coordinate faster

5 Comparative Analysis: Hebei vs Global Peers

5.1 Hebei vs Germany: Two Distinct Pathways

Production Scale and Structure:

Table 10: Hebei vs Germany: Basic Comparison

Metric	**Germany**	**Hebei**
Annual production (2024)	37.2 Mt	225-230 Mt
Share of national total	100% (country)	22% (province)
Global production share	2%	12-13%
BF-BOF share	71%	92%
EAF share	29%	8%
Emissions (Mt CO_2)	47-53	532-580
Intensity (t CO_2/t steel)	1.3-1.4	2.3-2.5
Direct employment	80,000	620,000-740,000

Decarbonization Strategy Comparison:

Table 11: Strategic Approaches: Hebei vs Germany

Dimension	**Germany**	**Hebei**
Primary technology	H2-DRI (premium route)	H2-DRI + CCUS + EAF (mixed)
Hydrogen strategy	100% green H_2 target	Grey to green transition
Timeline	2027-2045 (gradual)	2025-2040 (compressed)
Policy driver	Climate targets + competitiveness	Air quality + climate
Carbon pricing	EU ETS (€60-100/t)	China ETS (RMB 80-100/t, lower)
Government support	€6.9B federal + €2-3B state	RMB 200-300B provincial + national
Market mechanism	CCfD contracts, CBAM	1.5:1 capacity policy, domestic mandates
Energy costs	High (major challenge)	Moderate (but rising)
Infrastructure	Limited H_2, good electricity grid	Building from scratch
Social dialogue	Strong unions, co-determination	State-directed with consultation

Critical Differences and Implications:

1. **Scale and Global Impact:**
   - Germany: High ambition, limited global impact (2% of world steel)
   - Hebei: If successful, validates pathway for 20-25% of global production (Hebei + replication in other Chinese provinces)
2. **Technology Portfolio:**

- Germany: "All-in" on green hydrogen DRI (high risk, high reward)
- Hebei: Diversified approach (H2-DRI, CCUS, EAF) reduces risk but complexity

3. **Hydrogen Economics:**
   - Germany: €9+/kg current costs, need €4.50/kg by 2030 (major gap)
   - Hebei: RMB 18-25/kg (€2.30-3.20) current, target RMB 8-10/kg (€1-1.30) by 2030 (similar challenge)
   - Both face critical hydrogen cost barriers
4. **Implementation Speed:**
   - Germany: Slower, consensual, subject to political cycles
   - Hebei: Faster, directive, but social stability risks
5. **Market Drivers:**
   - Germany: CBAM, green steel premiums, competitiveness concerns
   - Hebei: Air quality imperatives, 1.5:1 policy, domestic mandates
   - Germany more market-driven, Hebei more regulation-driven

5.2 Hebei vs Liaoning: China's Provincial Divergence

Why Liaoning Has Lowest LCOS in China:

Table 12: Hebei vs Liaoning Cost Competitiveness (H2-DRI)

Factor	**Liaoning**	**Hebei**
Electricity cost (RMB/kWh)	0.30-0.38	0.46-0.62
Renewable energy access	Excellent (wind/nuclear)	Good (wind, developing)
Hydrogen cost projection (2030)	RMB 8-10/kg	RMB 10-12/kg
Skilled labor cost	Lower (rust belt wages)	Higher (proximity to Beijing)
Infrastructure maturity	Established industrial base	Modernizing
Environmental pressure	Moderate	Very high (Beijing proximity)
Estimated LCOS (2030)	**RMB 2,500-2,800/t**	**RMB 3,100-3,400/t**
Hebei disadvantage	–	**+20-25%**

Strategic Implications:

- **Technology demonstration:** Liaoning (Ansteel Bayuquan) ideal for proving H2-DRI economics
- **Scale deployment:** Hebei required for national impact despite higher costs
- **Competitive pressure:** Hebei must address cost gap through subsidies or productivity

- **Specialization:** Liaoning focuses on green steel export markets, Hebei serves domestic bulk markets

5.3 Hebei vs Jiangsu: EAF vs H2-DRI Strategies

Technology Pathway Divergence:

Table 13: Technology Strategy: Hebei (H2-DRI) vs Jiangsu (EAF)

Factor	Hebei	Jiangsu
Current BF-BOF share	92%	82%
Target 2030 H2-DRI	25-30 Mt (15%)	15-20 Mt (15%)
Target 2030 EAF	18-22 Mt (10%)	40-50 Mt (40-50%)
Scrap availability	Limited (35-45 Mt)	Strong (50-60 Mt)
Grid infrastructure	Developing	Excellent
Coastal access	Limited (Tangshan only)	Extensive (multiple ports)
Economic development	Heavy industry-dependent	Diversified, manufacturing
Environmental pressure	Extreme (air quality + Beijing)	Moderate (coastal)
Primary driver	Regulatory compliance	Market competitiveness

Lessons for Hebei:

- Jiangsu's EAF-focused strategy may be more cost-effective where scrap available
- Hebei constrained by scrap limitations, must rely more on H2-DRI
- Hybrid DRI-scrap EAF operations (60% DRI + 40% scrap) may optimize for Hebei
- Circular economy development critical for expanding Hebei's EAF potential

5.4 Hebei in Global Context: China's Heavy Lifting

Global Steel Decarbonization: Hebei's Outsized Role:

- **Global steel emissions:** 3,700 Mt CO_2/year (2024)
- **Hebei emissions:** 550 Mt CO_2/year (15% of global)
- **Hebei 2040 reduction target:** 360 Mt CO_2 (67% reduction)
- **Global impact:** Hebei alone could achieve 10% of global steel decarbonization

If Hebei's Approach Replicated Nationally:

- China's 4 other major steel provinces (Jiangsu, Shandong, Liaoning, Shanxi) = 350 Mt production
- Combined with Hebei: 575-580 Mt (57% of China, 31% of global)
- Potential emissions reduction by 2040: 600-700 Mt CO_2 (17-19% of global steel emissions)

- **Conclusion:** China's provincial decarbonization, with Hebei as flagship, is THE critical determinant of global steel emissions trajectory

6 Critical Success Factors and Risk Assessment

6.1 Success Factors

1. **Political Will and Enforcement Capacity (Very High Importance):**
   - **Strength:** Hebei has strongest political pressure due to Beijing proximity
   - **Strength:** Provincial government committed with substantial funding
   - **Strength:** Central government oversight ensures compliance
   - **Risk:** GDP and employment pressures could slow implementation
   - **Mitigation:** Central government must maintain pressure, provide fiscal transfers
2. **HBIS Technology Demonstration Success (Very High Importance):**
   - **Strength:** Zhangjiakou 1.2 Mt facility operational and proven technically
   - **Strength:** HBIS leadership and technical capability
   - **Challenge:** Scaling from 1.2 Mt to 5-10 Mt in single facility untested
   - **Challenge:** Cost competitiveness not yet achieved (RMB 500-600/t premium)
   - **Mitigation:** Phased scaling, government subsidies during learning curve
3. **Hydrogen Infrastructure Development (Very High Importance):**
   - **Challenge:** Must add 20-30 GW electrolyzers by 2030 (from <1 GW in 2024)
   - **Challenge:** 1,200+ km pipeline network required
   - **Opportunity:** Zhangjiakou renewable energy zone provides foundation
   - **Opportunity:** Inner Mongolia-Hebei pipeline leverages national strategy
   - **Timeline risk:** 3-5 year delays possible, would cascade to H2-DRI deployment
   - **Mitigation:** Priority national infrastructure project designation
4. **Hydrogen Cost Reduction (Critical):**
   - **Current:** RMB 18-25/kg makes green steel uncompetitive
   - **Required:** RMB 10-12/kg by 2030 for near-parity, RMB 8/kg for advantage
   - **Drivers:** Electrolyzer scale-up, renewable electricity cost decline, efficiency gains
   - **Probability:** 50-60% chance of achieving RMB 10-12/kg by 2030
   - **Mitigation:** Government subsidies (RMB 2-5/kg) during transition period
5. **Just Transition Program Effectiveness (High Importance):**

- **Scale:** 150,000-200,000 workers need support
- **Funding:** RMB 60-90 billion allocated (adequate if well-managed)
- **Retraining:** 70-80% placement target ambitious but achievable
- **Risk:** Social unrest if programs fail to deliver
- **Mitigation:** Early action, generous benefits, alternative employment creation

6. **Market for Green Steel (Medium-High Importance):**
   - **Domestic demand:** Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei green procurement mandates
   - **Automotive:** Great Wall Motors, Geely commitments
   - **Construction:** Infrastructure projects increasingly requiring low-carbon steel
   - **Export:** CBAM compliance positioning for future EU exports
   - **Premium:** Initial RMB 300-600/t premium may erode as supply increases
   - **Volume:** 40-50 Mt green steel capacity by 2030 needs secure offtake

6.2 Risk Factors and Mitigation

Table 14: Risk Matrix: Hebei Steel Decarbonization

Risk	Probability	Impact	Mitigation Strategy
Hydrogen costs remain >RMB 15/kg through 2030	Medium (35%)	Very High	Grey H_2 bridge strategy; government subsidies; CCUS as alternative
Infrastructure delays (H_2 pipeline, grid)	Medium-High (45%)	High	Priority national project status; accelerated permitting; redundant routes
Social unrest from rapid job losses	Medium (30%)	Very High	Front-load just transition; generous packages; phased timeline
Steel demand collapse (economic downturn)	Low-Medium (25%)	High	Flexible implementation; maintain strategic capacity; export development
Technology failure at commercial scale	Low (15%)	Very High	Phased deployment; multiple technology pathways; international partnerships
GDP pressure overrides environmental goals	Low-Medium (25%)	Medium	Central govt oversight; fiscal transfers; economic diversification
Cost overruns exceed projections by 30-50%	Medium (40%)	Medium	Contingency funding; phased investment; private capital mobilization
Beijing air quality targets missed	Low (20%)	Medium	Additional restrictions; accelerated timeline; supplementary measures

6.3 Scenario Analysis

Scenario A: "Green Steel Pioneer" (Probability: 20-25%)

Assumptions:

- Hydrogen costs reach RMB 8-10/kg by 2030
- HBIS Zhangjiakou successfully scales to 10 Mt and replicates
- Infrastructure developed on schedule
- Strong domestic green steel demand
- Just transition programs highly effective

Outcomes by 2040:

- Capacity: 180 Mt (28% reduction vs 2024)

- Technology: 45% H2-DRI, 35% CCUS-BF, 20% EAF
- Emissions: 150 Mt CO_2 (72% reduction)
- Employment: 500,000 (20% reduction, well-managed)
- Economic: Hebei becomes global green steel technology leader
- Replication: Model adopted by Shandong, Shanxi, other provinces

Scenario B: "Managed Transformation" (Probability: 50-55%)

Assumptions:

- Hydrogen costs reach RMB 10-13/kg by 2032 (2-year delay)
- H2-DRI deployment slower than planned (30-35 Mt by 2035)
- Infrastructure delays but completion by 2032-2033
- Moderate green steel market development
- Just transition programs moderately effective (65-70% success)

Outcomes by 2040:

- Capacity: 190-200 Mt (20-24% reduction)
- Technology: 30% H2-DRI, 45% CCUS-BF, 25% EAF
- Emissions: 200-220 Mt CO_2 (60-63% reduction)
- Employment: 450,000-480,000 (25-30% reduction, some social friction)
- Economic: Hebei maintains steel production but not leadership
- Cost: Ongoing competitiveness challenges, subsidy-dependent

Scenario C: "Troubled Transition" (Probability: 20-25%)

Assumptions:

- Hydrogen costs remain >RMB 15/kg through 2035
- Infrastructure severely delayed (5+ years behind schedule)
- Economic downturn reduces steel demand significantly
- Social unrest from rapid job losses
- Just transition programs underfunded or ineffective

Outcomes by 2040:

- Capacity: 210-220 Mt (only 12-16% reduction)
- Technology: 15% H2-DRI, 60% CCUS-BF, 25% EAF
- Emissions: 280-320 Mt CO_2 (only 45-50% reduction)
- Employment: 380,000-420,000 (35-40% reduction, social instability)
- Economic: Hebei steel sector in chronic crisis, capital flight

- Political: Targets missed, policy credibility damaged

7 Conclusions and Strategic Recommendations

7.1 Key Findings

1. Hebei Is the Epicenter of Global Steel Decarbonization

With 12-13% of world steel production and 15% of global steel sector emissions concentrated in a single province, Hebei's transformation success or failure will largely determine whether Paris Agreement targets are achievable in steel.

2. The Quadruple Crisis Is Real and Pressing

Hebei simultaneously faces:

- Environmental crisis (Beijing air quality, climate commitments)
- Economic viability challenges (RMB 500+ billion investment needs)
- Social stability risks (150,000-200,000 jobs at risk)
- Technology uncertainties (commercial-scale H2-DRI unproven)

No other steel region globally confronts challenges of this magnitude and complexity.

3. HBIS Zhangjiakou Is the Critical Linchpin

The 1.2 Mt H2-DRI demonstration project represents China's—and arguably the world's—most advanced hydrogen steel facility. Its successful scaling to 5-10 Mt by 2030 will determine:

- Technical viability of commercial-scale H2-DRI
- Cost competitiveness pathway
- Replication potential across Hebei and China
- Global confidence in hydrogen steel economics

4. Hydrogen Economics Are Make-or-Break

At current costs (RMB 18-25/kg), green steel is uncompetitive by RMB 1,000+/tonne. The transformation fundamentally depends on:

- Achieving RMB 10-12/kg by 2030 (50-60% probability)
- Government subsidies of RMB 2-5/kg bridging the gap
- Renewable electricity at RMB 0.20-0.25/kWh
- Infrastructure development enabling scale economies

Any significant deviation from this cost trajectory threatens the entire strategy.

5. The 1.5:1 Policy Is Aggressive But Necessary

Net capacity reduction of 50 Mt (20%) by 2030 is unprecedented in scale but essential for:

- Environmental compliance (Beijing air quality, carbon targets)

- Eliminating overcapacity and improving economics
- Forcing technology transformation rather than incremental improvement
- Aligning supply with realistic future demand

Implementation will face resistance but provincial government has enforcement capacity.

6. Just Transition Is Manageable But Requires Full Commitment

With 150,000-200,000 jobs at risk over 15 years (10,000-13,000/year), the scale is manageable with:

- Adequate funding (RMB 60-90 billion allocated)
- Early action (programs starting before major closures)
- Alternative employment creation (renewable energy, services)
- Generous support (80% wage during retraining, early retirement options)

Risk: Under-resourcing or delayed implementation could trigger social instability.

7. Hebei's Costs Are Higher Than Best-in-Class, But Scale Matters

Hebei's H2-DRI costs will be 20-25% higher than Liaoning's (China's lowest-cost province) and 30-35% higher than Sweden's (global leader). However:

- Hebei's 225 Mt production is 4x Liaoning, 45x Sweden's planned capacity
- National emissions impact requires Hebei transformation despite costs
- Subsidies and CCUS can bridge competitiveness gap during transition
- Cost reductions from scale and learning will narrow gap over time

8. Diversified Technology Portfolio Reduces Risk

Unlike Germany's near-exclusive focus on H2-DRI, Hebei's mixed strategy (40% H2-DRI, 35% CCUS, 25% EAF by 2035) provides:

- Flexibility if hydrogen costs remain elevated
- Bridge pathway with grey hydrogen and CCUS
- Multiple demonstration and learning opportunities
- Reduced execution risk from single technology dependence

7.2 Strategic Recommendations

For Hebei Provincial Government:

1. **Immediate Actions (2025-2026):**
    - **Finalize 1.5:1 implementation regulations** with clear timelines, verification procedures, enforcement mechanisms by Q1 2025
    - **Launch just transition programs immediately**, 18-24 months before major closures, with visible investments in retraining centers

- **Secure hydrogen infrastructure funding** and fast-track permitting for Inner Mongolia pipeline and Zhangjiakou electrolyzer expansion
- **Establish Provincial Green Steel Certification** system with third-party verification, carbon intensity disclosure
- **Create monitoring dashboard** with real-time capacity, production, emissions, employment data (public access)

2. **Medium-Term Actions (2026-2030):**
   - **Support HBIS scaling** from 1.2 Mt to 8-10 Mt with provincial guarantees, subsidies, regulatory fast-tracking
   - **Mandate green steel procurement** 30% of provincial government projects by 2028, 50% by 2030
   - **Accelerate economic diversification** in Tangshan (port logistics, clean energy equipment), Handan (solar manufacturing)
   - **Implement hydrogen subsidy program** RMB 2-5/kg declining to zero by 2032-2035 as costs fall
   - **Enforce closure schedules strictly** with no exemptions, building credibility for future phases
3. **Long-Term Governance (2030-2040):**
   - **Maintain political pressure** despite economic headwinds; environmental goals cannot be sacrificed
   - **Facilitate consolidation** of smaller producers through M&A incentives, technical support
   - **Export green steel expertise** technology licensing, training programs for other provinces and countries
   - **Monitor and adapt** quarterly reviews of progress, willingness to adjust timelines if needed but not abandon goals

For Chinese Central Government:

1. **Provide Unwavering Political Support:**
   - Designate Hebei transformation as national priority project
   - Presidential/State Council-level oversight and coordination
   - Shield provincial government from GDP growth pressure criticisms
   - Communicate to public that short-term economic pain is necessary for long-term sustainability
2. **Ensure Financial Backing:**
   - National co-funding: RMB 100-150 billion over 2025-2035
   - Policy bank lending: RMB 150-250 billion at preferential rates (1-2% below market)

- Carbon neutrality fund: Allocate 20-25% to Hebei steel transformation
- Fiscal transfers: Compensate provincial government for GDP and tax revenue losses

3. **Accelerate Infrastructure Development:**
   - Inner Mongolia-Hebei hydrogen pipeline: National-level approval and funding
   - Grid reinforcement: Priority for Hebei renewable energy integration
   - CO_2 transport and storage: Bohai Bay geological survey and infrastructure
   - Technology R&D: National laboratory for hydrogen metallurgy in Hebei
4. **Create Market for Green Steel:**
   - National green steel procurement mandate: 20% by 2028, 40% by 2030, 60% by 2035
   - Carbon intensity labeling: Mandatory disclosure for all steel products
   - Differential taxation: Lower VAT for certified low-carbon steel
   - Export support: Subsidies/guarantees for green steel exports to CBAM markets
5. **Strengthen Carbon Pricing:**
   - Increase ETS price: Target RMB 150-200/t CO_2 by 2030
   - Tighten free allocation: Decline from 85% to 40% by 2030
   - Implement CCfD mechanism: Guarantee minimum carbon price differential for green steel
   - Revenue recycling: Use ETS proceeds for hydrogen subsidies and just transition

For HBIS Group and Other Hebei Producers:

1. **Technology Leadership:**
   - **HBIS:** Scale Zhangjiakou to 5 Mt by 2028, 10 Mt by 2030; establish technology licensing division
   - **Share learnings:** Publish operational data, cost breakdowns, technical challenges to accelerate industry learning
   - **International partnerships:** Collaborate with European (Thyssenkrupp, H2 Green Steel) and Japanese (Nippon, JFE) leaders
   - **Pilot advanced technologies:** Next-generation electrolyzers, CCUS integration, DRI-scrap hybrid optimization
2. **Secure Offtake and Financing:**
   - **Pre-sale agreements:** Lock in 70%+ of green steel capacity before FID
   - **Automotive partnerships:** Long-term contracts with Great Wall Motors, Geely, NIO, BYD

- **Green bonds:** Issue RMB 30-50 billion sustainable finance instruments
- **International investors:** Attract climate funds, development banks, ESG-focused capital

3. **Workforce Management:**
   - **Early communication:** 24-month advance notice for facility closures
   - **Retraining partnerships:** Co-fund training centers with provincial government
   - **Job guarantees:** Commit to re-employing 60-70% of displaced workers in new facilities
   - **Generous severance:** 18-24 months salary plus retraining support for those not retained
4. **Smaller Producers:**
   - **Consolidation:** Seek mergers with HBIS, Jingye, or other major groups
   - **Specialization:** Focus on niche, high-margin products if remaining independent
   - **Technology licensing:** Adopt HBIS Zhangjiakou model rather than developing proprietary
   - **Orderly exit:** If unviable, plan responsible closure with worker support

For International Community and Development Partners:

1. **Technology Cooperation:**
   - Share best practices from European H2-DRI projects (H2 Green Steel, Hybrit, Thyssenkrupp)
   - Joint R&D on cost reduction, efficiency improvements
   - Equipment supply partnerships (electrolyzers, DRI reactors, EAF technology)
   - Training and capacity building programs
2. **Financial Support:**
   - Climate funds: Green Climate Fund, World Bank, ADB support for Hebei transformation
   - Concessional lending: Development banks providing low-cost capital
   - Risk guarantees: Covering technology and market risks during transition
   - Carbon credits: International recognition of emissions reductions
3. **Market Access:**
   - CBAM cooperation: Recognize Hebei green steel certifications for EU market access
   - Technology standards: Harmonize definitions, methodologies for carbon intensity

- Avoid protectionism: Ensure trade policies support, not hinder, global decarbonization

7.3 Critical Success Factors: Summary

Hebei's transformation will succeed if and only if:

1. **Hydrogen costs fall to RMB 10-12/kg by 2030-2032** (50-60% probability)
2. **HBIS Zhangjiakou scales successfully to 5-10 Mt** demonstrating commercial viability
3. **Infrastructure developed on time** (H_2 pipelines, electrolyzers, grid, CO_2 storage)
4. **Political commitment sustained** through economic cycles, leadership changes
5. **Just transition programs deliver** 65-70%+ re-employment, avoiding social unrest
6. **Market for green steel materializes** with domestic mandates and export opportunities

If 4-5 of these conditions are met: **Managed Transformation (50-55% probability)** If 5-6 conditions met: **Green Steel Pioneer (20-25% probability)** If <3 conditions met: **Troubled Transition (20-25% probability)**

7.4 Final Assessment

Hebei Province's steel decarbonization represents the single most important industrial transformation project for global climate goals. Success would:

- Eliminate 360-400 Mt CO_2 annually by 2040 (10% of global steel emissions)
- Validate hydrogen steel pathway at unprecedented scale
- Demonstrate that even heavily industrialized regions can transform
- Provide replicable model for rest of China and globally
- Position China as green steel technology leader

Failure would:

- Undermine China's carbon neutrality credibility
- Continue Beijing air quality crisis
- Waste RMB 200-300 billion in sunk investments
- Trigger social instability in steel-dependent cities
- Suggest that steel decarbonization is economically unviable

Most Probable Outcome (2025-2040): Managed Transformation with partial success

- Capacity reduction: 40-45 Mt (vs 50 Mt target)
- Technology deployment: 70-80% of H2-DRI target

- Emissions reduction: 55-65% (vs 67% target)
- Timeline: 2-3 year delays but eventual completion
- Costs: 20-30% above projections, requiring additional subsidies
- Social: Manageable transition with some friction

The next 5 years (2025-2030) are decisive. HBIS Zhangjiakou scaling, hydrogen cost trajectory, and just transition program effectiveness will become clear by 2028-2029, allowing for course corrections before 2030 checkpoint.

7.5 Contribution to MIFUS Framework

This Hebei study complements the MIFUS global analysis by:

- **Provincial-level depth:** Detailed examination of sub-national challenges often obscured in country-level analysis
- **China-Germany comparison:** Direct contrast between market-driven European and state-directed Chinese approaches
- **Scale validation:** Testing whether technology pathways viable at 1-5 Mt can work at 40-50 Mt
- **Just transition model:** China's approach to managing social impacts with different governance structure
- **H2-DRI economics:** Real-world cost data from HBIS Zhangjiakou informing global projections
- **Policy effectiveness:** 1.5:1 capacity replacement as alternative to market mechanisms (ETS, CBAM)

Key Lesson for Other Regions:

Hebei demonstrates that:

> *"Scale and political will can overcome many obstacles, but hydrogen economics and infrastructure development are universal constraints. Even China's centralized system and massive resources cannot circumvent the fundamental challenges of commercial-scale green steel production. Success requires patient capital, technology demonstration, just transition, and market creation—not just policy mandates."*

The Global Stakes:

If Hebei succeeds in transforming 225 Mt of steel production while maintaining social stability and economic viability, it proves that:

- Steel decarbonization is achievable even in heavily industrialized regions
- Hydrogen steel can work at commercial scale
- Just transitions can be managed in single-industry communities
- State-directed approaches can complement market mechanisms

If Hebei fails, it suggests that:

- Green steel economics are fundamentally unviable at scale
- Social and political barriers are insurmountable
- Carbon-intensive steel will persist for decades
- Paris Agreement targets for industry are unrealistic

The world is watching Hebei.

Acknowledgments

This research was conducted as part of the MIFUS (A Global Journey Through Steel Decarbonization) initiative at the University of Udine. The author acknowledges the foundational work of the MIFUS project team in developing the global and China-specific documentation that informed this provincial analysis.

Special acknowledgment to:

- HBIS Group for transparency in reporting Zhangjiakou demonstration project data
- Hebei Provincial Government for publication of steel industry development plans
- University of Oxford Institute for Energy Studies for China steel sector research
- Academic colleagues and industry professionals who provided insights on Hebei's transformation challenges

This paper represents the author's independent analysis and does not reflect official positions of any government, institution, or company.

For correspondence:

Prof. Fabio Miani
University of Udine
Department of Engineering
Via delle Scienze, 206
33100 Udine, Italy
Email: fabio.miani@uniud.it

References

MIFUS Core Documents

1. A_Global.pdf - Global Steel Decarbonization Overview. MIFUS Project, 2025.
2. B_GlobalAppendix.pdf - Global Steel Decarbonization Statistical Appendix. MIFUS Project, 2025.
3. C_ChinaSteelPolicyDeep01.pdf - China Steel Policy Deep Analysis. MIFUS Project, 2025.

4. ZF_ChinaSteelDecarb_asfrom_October2025Gvt02.pdf - China's Top 20 Steel Producers and 1.5:1 Policy Analysis. MIFUS Project, 2025.
5. H_Germany.pdf - Steel Decarbonization in Germany. MIFUS Project, 2025.
6. J_EuropeanUnion.pdf - European Union Steel Decarbonization Analysis. MIFUS Project, 2025.

Chinese Government and Industry Sources

1. National Bureau of Statistics of China (2024). Regional Steel Production Statistics.
2. Hebei Provincial Bureau of Statistics (2024). Industrial Production Data.
3. Ministry of Industry and Information Technology (2025). Draft Measures for Steel Capacity Replacement.
4. National Development and Reform Commission (2021). 14th Five-Year Plan for Steel Industry Development.
5. Hebei Provincial Government (2021). Steel Industry High-Quality Development Plan (2021-2025).
6. HBIS Group (2024). Sustainability Report and Zhangjiakou Project Documentation.
7. China Iron and Steel Association (2024). Chinese Steel Industry Annual Report.

Academic and Research Sources

1. University of Oxford (2024). *Decarbonising Steel Production in China: Progress, Challenges and Opportunities*. Oxford Institute for Energy Studies.
2. Global Efficiency Intelligence (2022). *Steel Climate Impact 2022 - Levelized Cost Analysis by Chinese Province*.
3. World Steel Association (2025). *World Steel in Figures 2025*.
4. International Energy Agency (2024). *Iron and Steel Technology Roadmap*.
5. Material Economics (2024). *Industrial Transformation 2050: Pathways to Net-Zero Emissions from EU Heavy Industry*.

News and Industry Analysis

1. S&P Global Commodity Insights (2025, October). "China proposes new steel capacity swap policy with stricter replacement ratio."
2. Mysteel (2025, October). "China releases draft rules on steel capacity replacement."
3. Argus Media (2025, October). "China tightens steel capacity replacement rules."
4. Reuters (2024-2025). Various articles on Chinese steel industry and Hebei environmental policies.
5. China Daily (2020-2024). Coverage of Hebei steel industry transformation.

Document Compilation Note:

This document was prepared using LaTeX and should be compiled with **pdfLaTeX** on Overleaf.

Recommended Overleaf Compiler Settings:

- **Compiler:** pdfLaTeX
- **Main document:** Set this .tex file as main
- **Compilation:** May require 2-3 passes for references and table of contents
- **Packages:** All required packages are standard in Overleaf

Version Control:

- **Version:** 1.0
- **Date:** November 2025
- **Author:** Prof. Fabio Miani, University of Udine
- **MIFUS Project:** https://www.gotrawama.eu/estep25udine/pdf/